arXiv:cond-mat/9706193v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 22 Jul 1999

Nuovo Cimento B 114, 717-722, June 1999
Los Alamos electronic archives: cond-mat/9706193
© Nuovo Cimento, Reyes & Rosu

General solution of the three-site master equation and the discrete Riccati equation

M. Reyes and H. Rosu
Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato, Apdo Postal E-143, 37150 León, Gto, Mexico

Summary: - We first obtain by analogy with the continuous (differential) case the general solution of the discrete Riccati equation. Moreover, we establish the full equivalence between our discrete Riccati equation and a corresponding homogeneous second order discrete linear equation. Our results can be considered the discrete analog of Mielnik's construction in supersymmetric quantum mechanics [J. Math. Phys. **25**, 3387 (1984)]. We present an application to the three-site master equation obtaining explicitly the general solutions for the simple cases of free random walk and the biased random walk.

PACS 11.30.Pb - Supersymmetry

We consider the continuous Riccati equation (CRE) $y^{'} = a(x)y^2 + b(x)y + c(x)$ with the known particular solution y_0 and let $y_1 = u + y_0$ be the second solution. By substituting y_1 in CRE one gets the Bernoulli equation $u^{'} = au^2 + (2ay_0 + b)u$. Furthermore, using $v = 1/u$, the simple first-order linear differential equation $v^{'} + (2ay_0 + b)v + a = 0$ is obtained, which can be solved by employing the integration factor $f = e^{\int^x 2ay_0+b}$, leading to the solution $v = e^{-\int^x 2ay_0+b}(-\int^x ae^{\int^x 2ay_0+b} + C)$, where C is an integration constant. Thus, the general solution of CRE is

$$y_1 = y_0 + \frac{e^{\int^x 2ay_0+b}}{C - \int^x ae^{\int^x 2ay_0+b}} \equiv y_0 + \frac{f}{C - \int^x (af)}\ . \qquad (1)$$

For the usual nonrelativistic one-dimensional quantum mechanics $b = 0$ and $a = -1$, i.e., the integration factor is $f_{qm} = e^{-2\int^x y_0}$. The particular solution y_0 is known as Witten's superpotential [1] in the context of supersymmetric quantum mechanics [2], while the general solution $y_1 = y_0 + \frac{f_{qm}}{C+\int^x f_{qm}}$ may be called Mielnik's superpotential [3]. Moreover, $f_{qm}^{1/2}$ is the ground state wavefunction, $-2y_0^{'}$ $(\equiv -2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\ln f_{qm}^{1/2})$ is the Darboux transform part of the Schrödinger potential and $\frac{f_{qm}^{1/2}}{C+\int^x f_{qm}}$ is the ground state wavefunction corresponding to Mielnik's superpotential for which $-2y_1^{'}$ can be thought of as the general Darboux transform part in the potential. All these relationships make up the core of the "entanglement" between Riccati and Schrödinger equations, which has been recently emphasized by Haley [4].

Consider now the discrete Riccati equation (DRE) $y_{n+1} = a_n y_{n+1} y_n + b_n y_n + c_n$, with known solution y_n^0. As in the case of CRE, the general solution is chosen of the form $y_n^1 = y_n^0 + u_n$. The discrete equation for the function u_n (discrete Bernoulli equation) will be

$$u_{n+1}=\frac{a_n}{1-a_ny_n^0}u_{n+1}u_n+\frac{b_n+a_ny_{n+1}^0}{1-a_ny_n^0}u_n\ . \tag{2}$$

We now take $v_n=1/u_n$ to get

$$v_{n+1}=\left(\frac{1-a_ny_n^0}{b_n+a_ny_{n+1}^0}\right)v_n-\frac{a_n}{b_n+a_ny_{n+1}^0}=g_nv_n+h_n\ , \tag{3}$$

whose solution reads

$$v_{n+1}=\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n}g_k\right)\left(D-\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{k}g_j\right)^{-1}h_k\right)\ , \tag{4}$$

where D is a positive constant. Thus, the general DRE solution can be written down as follows

$$y_n^1=y_n^0+\frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(\frac{b_k+a_ky_{k+1}^0}{1-a_ky_k^0})}{D-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{k}\frac{b_j+a_jy_{j+1}^0}{1-a_jy_j^0}\right)\frac{a_k}{b_k+a_ky_{k+1}^0}}\ . \tag{5a}$$

One can remark the formal analogy between the CRE and DRE general solutions. Indeed, $f_p=\prod_{l=0}^{p}\frac{b_l+a_ly_{l+1}^0}{1-a_ly_l^0}$ can be thought of as a discrete "integration" factor (DIF), and thus Eq. (5a) can be written in the form

$$y_n^1=y_n^0+\frac{f_{n-1}}{D-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{f_ka_k}{b_k+a_ky_{k+1}^0}}\ , \tag{5b}$$

from which the analogy (and difference) with respect to the continuous case is transparent.

We now want to establish a formal equivalence between the DRE and the homogeneous second-order discrete equation (HSODE), more exactly, between $y_{n+1}=a_ny_{n+1}y_n+b_ny_n+c_n$ and $c_n^{'}x_{n+2}+b_n^{'}x_{n+1}+a_nx_n=0$.

In the DRE let $y_n=\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}+d_n$. After some easy algebra and with the crucial choice $d_{n+1}=-b_n/a_n$ one gets

$$(c_n+\frac{b_n}{a_n})x_{n+2}-(1+a_n\frac{b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}})x_{n+1}+a_nx_n=0\ . \tag{6}$$

Thus, the identifications are $b_n^{'}=-1-\frac{a_nb_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}$ and $c_n^{'}=c_n+\frac{b_n}{a_n}$. Vice versa, let us start with the HSODE and introduce $y_n=x_n/x_{n+1}+(b_n^{'}+1)/a_n$. Again, after quite simple algebra, one gets the DRE with the following identifications $b_n=-a_n(1+b_{n+1}^{'})/a_{n+1}$ and $c_n=c_n^{'}+(1+b_{n+1}^{'})/a_{n+1}$. These are the inverse identifications of the first ones.

One may foresee many physical applications of the above mathematical procedure. Here, we present only one application, namely to write down the general solution of the Hermitian three-site master equation written as in [5] and [6]

$$-(g_{n+1}r_{n+2})^{1/2}x_{n+2} + (g_{n+1} + r_{n+1})x_{n+1} - (g_n r_{n+1})^{1/2}x_n = 0 \ , \tag{7}$$

where g_n is the transition rate for the jump $n \rightarrow n+1$ and r_n is the one for the backward jump $n \rightarrow n-1$, while x_n can be thought of as the square root of the site probability. The known stationary solution is [7]

$$x_n^0 = A\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n} \frac{g_{j-1}}{r_j}\right)^{1/2} \ , \tag{8}$$

where A is a scaling constant, that through the normalization condition can be fixed to be $A = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g_{j-1}}{r_j}\right)^{-1/2}$ (see [8]). The transformation

$$y_n = \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - \frac{1 + g_{n+1} + r_{n+1}}{(g_n r_{n+1})^{1/2}} \tag{9}$$

applied to Eq. (7) leads to the following master Riccati equation

$$y_{n+1} = -(g_n r_{n+1})^{1/2} y_{n+1} y_n - \left(\frac{g_n r_{n+1}}{g_{n+1} r_{n+2}}\right)^{1/2} (1 + g_{n+2} + r_{n+2}) y_n - (g_{n+1} r_{n+2})^{1/2} - \frac{1 + g_{n+2} + r_{n+2}}{(g_{n+1} r_{n+2})^{1/2}} \ , \tag{10}$$

with the particular solution

$$y_n^0 = -\frac{1 + g_{n+1}}{(g_n r_{n+1})^{1/2}} \ . \tag{11}$$

However, one can write the general solution corresponding to Eqs. (5a,b), where y_n^0 is substituted by Eq. (11) and $a_k = a_k^M \equiv -(g_k r_{k+1})^{1/2}$ and $b_k = b_k^M \equiv -\left(\frac{g_k r_{k+1}}{g_{k+1} r_{k+2}}\right)^{1/2} (1 + g_{k+2} + r_{k+2})$, where the superscript M stands for master. By introducing the master DIF

$$f_p^M = \prod_{l=0}^{p} \frac{b_l^M + a_l^M y_{l+1}^0}{1 - a_l^M y_l^0} \ , \tag{12}$$

one can write a compact form of the general master Riccati solution

$$y_n^1 = y_n^0 + \frac{f_{n-1}^M}{D - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f_k^M a_k^M}{b_k^M + a_k^M y_{k+1}^0}} = -\frac{1 + g_{n+1}}{(g_n r_{n+1})^{1/2}} + \frac{\frac{(g_0 r_1)^{1/2}}{g_n r_{n+1}} (\frac{x_1^0}{x_{n+1}^0})^2}{D - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{(g_0 r_1)^{1/2}}{r_{s+2}} (\frac{x_1^0}{x_{s+2}^0})^2} \ , \tag{13}$$

where D is a constant. Moreover, using simple discrete algebra, one can obtain the following new general solution of the master equation

$$x_n^1 = x_n^0 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\frac{(g_0 r_1)^{1/2}}{r_{j+1}} (\frac{x_1^0}{x_{j+1}^0})^2}{D - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \frac{(g_0 r_1)^{1/2}}{r_{s+2}} (\frac{x_1^0}{x_{s+2}^0})^2} \right)^{-1} , \tag{14}$$

where x_p^0 is the known product solution Eq. (8) having p factors.

Let us see now the particular case $g_n = c_1$, $r_n = c_2$, where c_1 and c_2 are two real numbers $\in (0, 1)$, such that $c_1 \leq c_2$, i.e., $q = \frac{c_1}{c_2} \leq 1$. Then, the known stationary master solution is $x_n^0 = Aq^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, the particular Riccati solution is $y_n^0 = -\frac{1+c_1}{(c_1 c_2)^{1/2}}$, whereas the general Riccati solution reads

$$y_n^1 = -\frac{1 + c_1}{(c_1 c_2)^{1/2}} + \frac{q^{-n}}{D - q^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{-k}} . \tag{15}$$

At this point, we treat separately the two subcases as follows.

(i) For $c_1 = c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ (free random walk), the particular solution is $y_n^0 = -3$ and the general solution is

$$y_n^1 = -3 + \frac{1}{D - n} . \tag{16}$$

(ii) For $c_1 \neq c_2$ (biased random walk), say, $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon)$ and $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \epsilon)$ so that $q = \frac{1-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$, the particular solution is $y_n^0 = -\frac{3-\epsilon}{(1-\epsilon^2)^{1/2}}$ and the general one is the following

$$y_n^1 = -\frac{3 - \epsilon}{(1 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{q^{-n}}{D - q^{-1/2} \frac{1-q^{-n}}{1-q^{-1}}} = -\frac{3 - \epsilon}{(1 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{(\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon})^n}{D + \frac{(1-\epsilon^2)^{1/2}}{2\epsilon} [1 - (\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon})^n]} . \tag{17}$$

For $\epsilon \to 0$ this solution goes into the above one for the free random walk.

Passing to the master equation one can get in the two subcases the following solutions:

(i) $x_n^0 = A$ and $x_n^1 = A(1 - \frac{n}{D+1})$. For the positivity of the solution one should take $D > n - 1$. One can see that for $D \approx n$, at large n, x_n^1 is nought. However, for $D = \alpha n$, where $\alpha > 1$, again at large n, $x_n^1 = A(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})$ is substantially different from x_n^0. Finally, for $\alpha \gg 1$ one gets the particular solution.

(ii) $x_n^0 = A(\frac{c_1}{c_2})^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ and after reabsorbing some constants one gets $x_n^1 = x_n^0 \left(\frac{D - \frac{1-(\frac{c_2}{c_1})^{n+1}}{1-\frac{c_2}{c_1}}}{D-1} \right)$. Inspection of the latter formula shows that for positivity one should take $D > \frac{(1+p)^{n+1}-1}{p}$, where $p = \frac{2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$, which is close to $D > n + 1$ resulting from the first order approximation.

In summary, we derived the explicit form of the general solution of the three-site master equation based on the corresponding discrete Riccati general solution. In the continuous case it corresponds to Mielnik's procedure in supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Since the steady state solution is always a particular solution, what we have obtained here are either transient solutions [9] or solutions determined by some nonequilibrium boundary conditions fixing the value of the constant D.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by the CONACyT Project 458100-5-25844E.

References

1. WITTEN E., *Nucl. Phys.* B **185** (1981) 513.
2. For a recent review see COOPER F., KHARE A. and SUKHATME U, *Phys. Rep.* **251** (1995) 267.
3. MIELNIK B., *J. Math. Phys.* **25** (1984) 3387; See also, ROSU H.C., *Phys. Rev.* E **56** (1997) 2269.
4. HALEY S.B., *Am. J. Phys.* **65** (1997) 237.
5. JAUSLIN H.R., *Phys. Rev.* A **41** (1990) 3407.
6. ROSU H.C. and REYES M., *Phys. Rev.* E **51** (1995) 5112; E **57** (1998) 4850.
7. GARDINER C.W., *Handbook of stochastic methods* (Berlin: Springer) 1985.
8. GILLESPIE D.T., *Am. J. Phys.* **61** (1993) 595.
9. MAIN I.G., *Vibrations and waves in physics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) 1978.